Executive Summary for Graduate School

Faculty COVID-19 Impact Surveys

(June 2020 & December 2020)

Background. The Provost's Office of the University of Maryland, Baltimore, sought to understand the experiences and broad impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on their faculty, staff, and students during the pandemic, requiring virtual work, teaching, research, and service. This report summarizes school-level responses to two surveys administered during 2020. A 45-item survey was sent to all active faculty and adjuncts in June 2020, ten weeks after the transition to emergency remote teaching and virtual work; this survey was repeated in December 2020, with the addition of ten items regarding COVID safety behaviors. The survey was open for three weeks in each administration. Survey questions were primarily derived from the Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium (HEDS, 2020) survey and the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Public Health Emergency and Disaster Research Response survey (Conway, Woodard, & Zubrod, 2020), and were supplemented with institutionally relevant items relating to impacts to research and clinical operations, scholarship, community service activities. Open ended qualitative questions with an emphasis on instructional impacts were also included. Survey results for the school are presented by survey topic area with comparisons to aggregate responses by all UMB faculty. Response categories included in this executive summary were selected to portray significant data comparison points and do not account for all responses to each question. The number of survey responses is summarized in Table 8.

Question Stem	Response	June GS	Dec. GS	June UMB	Dec. UMB
Overall satisfaction with support from UMB (including school and central administration) to help adjust to all the changes this spring [June survey] / during the COVID-19 pandemic [December survey]	% Strongly Agree & Agree	96%	95%	83%	82%
University-level leadership effectively protected faculty from the negative health consequences of COVID-19	% Strongly Agree & Agree	96%	100%	96%	95%
University-level leadership supported faculty in adapting to the changes at the institution caused by the spread of COVID-19	% Strongly Agree & Agree	96%	95%	92%	93%
University-level leadership communicated effectively and transparently during this crisis	% Strongly Agree & Agree	96%	100%	92%	93%
School-level leadership helped me to reset faculty priorities due to changes at the institution caused by the spread of COVID-19	% Strongly Agree & Agree	83%	84%	72%	67%
School-level leadership helped me to understand the direction forward in my work due to changes at the institution caused by the spread of COVID-19	% Strongly Agree & Agree	92%	79%	70%	67%

Table 1. University and School Leadership Response

School-level leadership has shown care and concern for faculty in the response to the COVID-19 crisis	% Strongly Agree & Agree	96%	95%	84%	80%
School-level leadership communicated effectively and transparently during the crisis	% Strongly Agree & Agree	96%	95%	81%	78%

Table 2. Research and Clinical Practice Impact

Question Stem Response		June GS	Dec. GS	June UMB	Dec. UMB
Has your research been affected because of campus changes due to COVID-19?	% Yes	18%		77%	73%
Has your clinical practice been affected because of campus changes due to COVID-19?	% Yes	100%		89%	83%
What immast has reduction or sugrancian of your response activities had an you?	% Minor / Moderate	50%		48%	64%
What impact has reduction or suspension of your research activities had on you?	% Major / Catastrophic	50%		48%	32%
What impact has reduction or suggestion of your alinical prestice had on you?	% Minor / Moderate	0%		51%	57%
What impact has reduction or suspension of your clinical practice had on you?	% Major / Catastrophic	0%		41%	27%

Table 3. Faculty Instructional Productivity Impact (for those faculty engaged in didactic and/or clinical skills instruction)

Question Stem	Response	June GS	Dec. GS	June UMB	Dec. UMB
Faculty conducting virtual / online teaching in Spring 2020 prior to COVID-19 [June survey]	% Yes	62%		37%	
Faculty teaching virtually / online in Spring 2020 after March 14, 2020 [June survey]	% Yes	67%		79%	
Faculty teaching virtually / online in Spring and / or Summer 2020 [December survey]	% Yes		56%		76%
Faculty teaching virtually / online in Fall 2020 [December survey]	% Yes		67%		82%
Faculty planning to teach virtually / online in Spring 2021 [December survey]	% Yes		72%		66%
Please estimate the total number of students that you are teaching virtually/online in Spring 2020 (June survey) / Fall 2020 (December survey) across all class sections.	Less than 25 26 - 99 100 or more	57% 43% 0%	58% 33% 8%	49% 30% 21%	40% 31% 29%
How well are you adjusting to the demands of this new teaching environment? (Sliding Scale of 1-10; $1 = \text{Extremely poorly} / 10 = \text{Extremely well}$)	Mean	8.08	8.83	7.34	7.43
Based on your perception, how are your students adjusting to the demands of this new teaching environment? (Sliding Scale of 1-10; 1 = Extremely poorly / 10 = Extremely well)	Mean	7.50	7.00	6.85	6.74
Do you perceive there to be a change in instructional effectiveness due to the shift from in-	% Any Increase	25%	0%	17%	15%
person to virtual/online instruction, and if so, how would you describe the magnitude?	% Any Reduction	42%	50%	66%	69%

Table 4. Stude	nt engagement an	d readiness	for fut	ure learning

Question Stem Response		June GS	Dec. GS	June UMB	Dec. UMB
Indicate if teaching online has changed the frequency with which you engage	% More frequent	33%	36%	22%	18%
with your students individually	% Less frequent	0%	18%	46%	45%
Indicate if teaching online has changed the frequency with which you engage with your students as a group	% More frequent	33%	55%	20%	17%
	% Less frequent	8%	18%	31%	31%
	% Moderately concerned	15%	25%	30%	31%
Concerns about students' preparedness for continued study as a result of educational experiences since Spring 2020	% Very concerned	0%	0%	13%	9%
educational experiences since spring 2020	% Extremely concerned	8%	0%	5%	4%
Change in time spent related to the teaching mission since the move to	% Any increase	68%	60%	61%	70%
virtual/online teaching due to COVID-19	% Any reduction	0%	0%	16%	10%

Table 5. Faculty Non-Instructional Productivity Impact

Question Stem	Response	June GS	Dec. GS	June UMB	Dec. UMB
Impact of COVID-19 on attainment level of scholarly activities June 2019 through May 2020 [June survey] / June 2020 through May 2021 [December survey]	% Increase	6%	25%	22%	17%
	% Reduction	56%	56%	54%	66%
Impact of COVID-19 on participation level in professional services June 2019	% Increase	32%	7%	17%	17%
through May 2020 [June survey] / June 2002 through May 2021 [December survey]	% Reduction	26%	64%	41%	47%
Impact of COVID-19 on participation level in public service with K-12 schools and community colleges, government agencies, non-profit organizations, or	% Increase	19%	18%	14%	11%
businesses June 2019 through May 2020 [June survey] / June 2020 through May 2021 [December survey]	% Reduction	31%	64%	39%	50%

Question Stem Response		June GS	Dec. GS	June UMB	Dec. UMB
Doing your job effectively despite the changes in your work environment	% Very Often / Often	43%	32%	58%	44%
Doing your job effectively despite the changes in your work environment	% Never / Almost Never	17%	32%	14%	22%
	% Very Often / Often	13%	11%	22%	17%
Feeling pressure to come to your place of work	% Never / Almost Never	78%	79%	53%	63%
X7 1 1/1 1 11 1 '	% Very Often / Often	65%	53%	48%	39%
Your health and well- being	% Never / Almost Never	9%	16%	15%	19%
	% Very Often / Often	74%	47%	65%	50%
The health and well- being of your colleagues and staff	% Never / Almost Never	0%	5%	5%	10%
	% Very Often / Often	91%	63%	77%	65%
The health and well- being of your friends and family	% Never / Almost Never	4%	11%	4%	6%
	% Very Often / Often	57%	42%	55%	30%
What the future holds for UMB	% Never / Almost Never	9%	21%	12%	30%
	% Very Often / Often	43%	42%	41%	38%
Losing connections with your colleagues and staff at UMB	% Never / Almost Never	43%	32%	25%	25%
	% Very Often / Often	87%	74%	68%	53%
The health and well- being of your students	% Never / Almost Never	0%	0%	6%	12%
X	% Very Often / Often	61%	42%	42%	29%
Your ongoing employment	% Never / Almost Never	9%	32%	26%	39%

Table 6. Percent frequency of Faculty concerns related to COVID-19.

Table 7. COVID-19 Practices (December 2020 Survey Only)

Question Stem	Response	December GS	December UMB
How concerned are you about exposure to COVID-19 through your current educational settings	% Great Deal / A Lot	11%	16%
The concerned are you about exposure to COVID-19 through your current educational settings	% None / A Little	84%	69%
How do you feel about UMB policies and guidelines regarding COVID-19	% Just Right	100%	86%
How well do you follow UMB policies and guidelines for minimizing exposure to COVID-19	% All of the Time	84%	86%
What is your level of concern that other students, faculty, or staff are not following UMB	% Very / Somewhat	37%	48%
policies and guidelines for minimizing exposure to COVID-19	% None / A Little	63%	52%
In your opinion, the level of enforcement by UMB officials of UMB policies and guidelines	% About Right	95%	81%
applicable to students, faculty and staff to minimize exposure to COVID-19 is	% Not Enough	5%	16%
If you are participating in in-person classes, experiential learning, or clinical experiences, how	% Great Deal / A Lot	33%	19%
much stress are you currently feeling about participating in these in-person experiences	% None / A Little	33%	57%
Over the last two weeks, how often have you felt unable to stop or control worrying due to impacts associated with the pandemic	% Several / More than Half of Days	58%	47%
How well are you currently managing the stress in your life (frequency of problems coping)	% Sometimes / Often	32%	36%
Are you participating in programming offered by UMB or your School that can help you manage more effectively with stress	% Yes	42%	25%
Overall, based on your personal definition of burnout, how would you rate your level of	% Occasional	42%	47%
burnout	% Moderate	42%	28%

Table 8. Survey Participation.

Survey Participation	June GS	December GS	June UMB	December UMB
Number of faculty responding to survey	24	20	1,161	914
Number of school faculty as a percent of total responses	2.1%	2.2%	100%	100%

Jointly prepared by the Faculty Teaching and Learning Center and Office of Institutional Effectiveness, Strategic Planning, and Assessment

Please contact Christina Cestone <u>ccestone@umaryland.edu</u> or Gregory Spengler <u>gspengler@umaryland.edu</u> for further information